Home   Search   Collections   Journals   About   Contact us   My IOPscience

Superconducting topological fluids in Josephson junction arrays

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Superconducting topological fluids in Josephson junction arrays

M Cristina Diamantini[1], **Pasquale Sodano**[1,4] **and Carlo A Trugenberger**[2,3]

[1] Dipartimento di Fisica and Sezione INFN, University of Perugia, via A. Pascoli,
I-06100 Perugia, Italy
[2] InfoCodex S.A., av. Louis-Casai 18, CH-1209 Geneva, Switzerland
[3] Theory Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

E-mail: cristina.diamantinitrugenberger@cern.ch, pasquale.sodano@pg.infn.it
and ca.trugenberger@InfoCodex.com

Abstract
We argue that frustrated Josephson junction arrays may support a topologically
ordered superconducting ground state, characterized by a non-trivial ground-
state degeneracy on the torus. This superconducting quantum fluid provides
an explicit example of a system in which superconductivity arises from
a topological mechanism rather than from the usual Landau–Ginzburg
mechanism.

PACS numbers: 74.20.Mn, 03.65.Fd, 05.30.Pr

It is by now widely believed that quantum phase transitions describe changes in the
entanglement pattern of the complex-valued ground-state wavefunction and that the
universality classes of these quantum ground states define the corresponding quantum orders
[1]. When there is a gap in the spectrum. the quantum ordered ground state is called
topologically ordered [2]; its hallmark is a ground-state degeneracy depending only on the
topology of the underlying space.

The best known example of topological order is given by Laughlin's quantum
incompressible fluids [3] describing the ground states responsible for the quantum Hall effect
[4]. Soon after Laughlin's discovery, it was conjectured that an analogous mechanism could
enable superconductivity [5] in high temperature or granular superconductors, although the
original anyon superconductivity mechanism has now been ruled out experimentally, due to
the observed parity and time reversal invariance of the ground states of the relevant physical
systems.

Josephson junction arrays (JJAs) have been regarded by several authors [6–9] as
controllable devices, which may exhibit topological order. Planar arrays display a

---

[4] Present Address: Progetto Lagrange, Fondazione CRT and Fondazione ISI, Dipartimento di Fisica, Politecnico di
Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, I-10129 Torino, Italy.

characteristic insulator–superconductor quantum phase transition at $T = 0$ [10]. In [8]. we pointed out that two-dimensional JJAs may be mapped onto an Abelian gauge theory with the Chern–Simons term and evidenced how the topological gauge theory, which naturally allows for the appearance of topological order, together with duality may be useful to describe the phase diagram of these devices.

The gauge theory formulation of JJAs [8] clearly evidences that the superconducting ground state is a P- and T-invariant generalization of Laughlin's incompressible quantum fluid. The simplest example of a topological fluid [11] is a ground state described by a low-energy effective action given solely by the topological Chern–Simons term [12]. $S = k/4\pi \int d^3x A_\mu \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \partial_\nu A_\alpha$, for a compact $U(1)$ gauge field A_μ whose dual field strength $F^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \partial_\nu A_\alpha$ yields the conserved matter current. In this case, the degeneracy of the ground state on a manifold of genus g will be k^g (or $(k_1 k_2)^g$ if $k = k_1/k_2$ is a rational number): for planar unfrustrated JJAs, one finds that the topological fluid is described by two $k = 1$ Chern–Simons gauge fields of opposite chirality and, thus, there is no degeneracy of the ground state [8, 13].

In this letter, we argue that frustrated JJAs may support a topologically ordered ground state described by a pertinent superconducting quantum fluid, thus providing an interesting and explicit example of a system in which superconductivity arises from the topological mechanism proposed in [13] rather than from the usual Landau–Ginzburg mechanism. In the presence of n_q, offset charge quanta per site and n_ϕ external magnetic flux quanta per plaquette in specific ratios, Josephson junction arrays might support incompressible quantum fluid [14] phases corresponding to purely two-dimensional quantum Hall phases for either charges [15] or vortices [16]. In this letter, we shall show that if quantum Hall phases for charges or vortices are realized, then JJAs naturally support a topologically ordered ground state and a phase in which they behave as a topological superconductor [13]; there is, in fact, a renormalization of the Chern–Simons coefficient yielding a non-trivial ground-state degeneracy on the torus (and in general on manifolds with non-trivial topology).

We shall consider JJAs fabricated on a square planar lattice of spacing $l = 1$ made of superconducting islands with nearest neighbour Josephson couplings of strength E_J [10]. Each island has a capacitance C_0 to the ground; moreover, there are nearest neighbour capacitances C. To implement a torus topology, we impose doubly periodic conditions at the boundary of the square lattice.

In [8], we have shown that the zero-temperature partition function of JJAs may be written in terms of two effective gauge fields A_μ (vector) and B_μ (pseudovector). In the low-energy limit, the partition function is

$$Z = \sum_{\substack{\{Q_0\} \\ \{M_0\}}} \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \int \mathcal{D}B_\mu \exp(-S),$$

$$S = \int dt \sum_{\mathbf{x}} -i\frac{1}{2\pi} A_\mu K_{\mu\nu} B_\nu + iA_0 Q_0 + iB_0 M_0. \tag{1}$$

This form of the partition function also holds true with toroidal boundary conditions. The gauge fields embody the original degrees of freedom through their dual field strengths $q_\mu \propto K_{\mu\nu} B_\nu$ and $\phi_\mu \propto K_{\mu\nu} A_\nu$ representing, respectively, the conserved charge (vector) current and the conserved vortex (axial) current. $K_{\mu\nu}$ is the lattice Chern–Simons term [17], defined by $K_{00} = 0$, $K_{0i} = -\epsilon_{ij} d_j$, $K_{i0} = S_i \epsilon_{ij} d_j$ and $K_{ij} = -S_i \epsilon_{ij} \partial_0$, in terms of forward (backward) shift and difference operators S_i ($\hat{S}_i$) and d_i ($\hat{d}_i$). Its conjugate $\hat{K}_{\mu\nu}$ is defined by $\hat{K}_{00} = 0$, $\hat{K}_{0i} = -\hat{S}_i \epsilon_{ij} \hat{d}_j$, $\hat{K}_{i0} = \epsilon_{ij} \hat{d}_j$ and $\hat{K}_{ij} = -\hat{S}_j \epsilon_{ij} \partial_0$. The two Chern–Simons kernels $K_{\mu\nu}$ and $\hat{K}_{\mu\nu}$ are interchanged upon integration (summation) by parts on the lattice.

The topological excitations are described by the integer-valued fields Q_0 and M_0 and represent unit charges and vortices rendering the gauge field components A_0 and B_0 integers via the Poisson summation formula; their fluctuations determine the phase diagram [8]. In the classical limit, the magnetic excitations are dilute and the charge excitations condense rendering the system a superconductor: vortex confinement amounts here to the Meissner effect. In the quantum limit, the magnetic excitations condense while the charged ones become dilute: the system exhibits insulating behaviour due to vortex superconductivity accompanied by a charge Meissner effect.

By rewriting the topological excitations as the curl of an integer-valued field

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_0 &\equiv K_{0i} Y_i, & Y_i &\in Z, \\
M_0 &\equiv \hat{K}_{0i} X_i, & X_i &\in Z,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2}
$$

we get the mixed Chern–Simons term as follows:

$$
Z = \sum_{\{X_i, Y_i\}} \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \int \mathcal{D}B_\mu \exp(-S),
$$

$$
S = -\frac{1}{2\pi} i \int \mathrm{d}t \sum_{\mathbf{x}} A_0 K_{0i}(B_i - 2\pi Y_i) + B_0 \hat{K}_{0i}(A_i - 2\pi X_i) + A_i K_{ij} B_j.
\tag{3}
$$

From (3) one sees that the gauge field components A_i and B_i are angular variables due to their invariance under time-independent integer shifts. Such shifts do not affect the last term in the action, which contains a time derivative, and may be reabsorbed in the topological excitations X_i and Y_i, leaving also the first term of the action invariant. The low-energy theory is thus compact.

In analogy with the conventional quantum Hall setting one should expect the charge and vortex transport properties to depend on the ratios of the offset charges (i.e. the filling fractions) (n_q/n_ϕ) and (n_ϕ/n_q), respectively. Due to the periodicity of the charge–vortex coupling, however, n_ϕ (n_q) is defined only modulo an integer as far as charge (vortex) transport properties are concerned. Using this freedom. one may define effective filling fractions (we shall assume $n_q \geqslant 0, n_\phi \geqslant 0$ for simplicity) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_q &\equiv \frac{n_q}{n_\phi - [n_\phi]^- + [n_q]^+}, & 0 \leqslant \nu_q \leqslant 1, \\
\nu_\phi &\equiv \frac{n_\phi}{n_q - [n_q]^- + [n_\phi]^+}, & 0 \leqslant \nu_\phi \leqslant 1,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4}
$$

where $[n_q]^\pm$ indicate the smallest (greatest) integer greater (smaller) than n_q. Of course, these effective filling fractions are always smaller than 1.

In [8], we assumed the existence of these quantum Hall phases and discussed them in the framework of the gauge theory representation of Josephson junction arrays, showing that depending on certain parameters of the array there are both a charge quantum Hall phase and a vortex quantum Hall phase. Here, we will concentrate on the low-energy limit of the charge quantum Hall phase, and we will show that the system has topological order and behaves as a superconductor when charge condenses.

The pertinent low-energy theory is now given by

$$
S = \int \mathrm{d}t \sum_{\mathbf{x}} -\frac{i}{\pi} A_\mu K_{\mu\nu} B_\nu - \frac{i\nu_q}{\pi} A_\mu K_{\mu\nu} A_\nu,
\tag{5}
$$

with $\nu_q = p/n$. The main difference with (1) is the addition of a pure Chern–Simons term for the A_μ gauge field. We have also rescaled the coefficient of the mixed Chern–Simons coupling by a factor of 2 (compare with (1)). This factor of 2 is a well-known aspect of Chern–Simons

gauge theories [18]. Moreover, since in JJAs the charge degrees of freedom are bosons, the allowed [8] filling fractions are given by $v_q = \frac{p}{n}$, with $pn = $ eveninteger in accordance with [19]. As a result, the action (5) may now be written in terms of two independent gauge fields A_μ and $B_\mu^q = B_\mu + v_q A_\mu$ yielding

$$S = \int dt \sum_{\mathbf{x}} -\frac{i}{\pi} A_\mu K_{\mu\nu} B_\nu^q. \tag{6}$$

In describing JJAs one has to require the periodicity of charge–vortex couplings; the coupling of the topological excitations enforcing the periodicity of the mixed Chern–Simons term $A_\mu K_{\mu\nu} B_\nu^q$ is then

$$S = \int dt \sum_{x} \cdots + i p A_0 Q_0 + i n B_0 M_0, \tag{7}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$S = \sum_{x} \cdots + i l p A_0 (Q_0 + M_0) + i l n B_0^q M_0. \tag{8}$$

Due to the replacement $B_\mu \to B_\mu^q$, the periodicities of the two original gauge fields are

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &\to A_i + \pi n a_i, & a_i &\in Z, \\ B_i &\to B_i + \pi p b_i, & b_i &\in Z, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

and

$$B_i^q \to B_i^q + \pi p b_i, \qquad b_i \in Z. \tag{10}$$

The resulting low-energy theory is thus, again, compact.

Using the representation (2), one may rewrite the mixed Chern–Simons term as

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int dt \sum_{x} \cdots &- i \frac{(pq/2)}{2\pi} \left(\frac{2A_0}{n} \right) K_{0i} \left(\frac{2B_i^q}{p} - 2\pi Y_i \right) \\ &- i \frac{(pq/2)}{2\pi} \frac{2B_0^q}{n} K_{0i} \left(\frac{2A_i}{n} - 2\pi X_i \right). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

In this representation. it is clear that the topological excitations render the charge–vortex coupling periodic under the shifts

$$\begin{aligned} A_i' &= \frac{2A_i}{n} \to A_i' + 2\pi a_i, & a_i &\in Z, \\ B_i' &= \frac{2B_i^q}{p} \to B_i' + 2\pi b_i, & b_i &\in Z. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

This model corresponds to two Chern–Simons terms with coefficients $\pm k/4\pi$ with $k = np/2$ an integer. It is worth to point out that, since B_ν^q does not have a definite parity (is a linear combination of a vector and a pseudovector), the model is not P- and T-invariant as it must be due to the presence of the Chern–Simons term for the field A_μ.

The hallmark of topological order is the degeneracy of the ground state on manifolds with non-trivial topology as shown by Wen [2]. The torus degeneracy on the lattice of the Chern–Simons model was computed in [20]. For a single Chern–Simons term this degeneracy is $(k)^g$, where k is the integer coefficient of the Chern–Simons term, and g is the genus of the surface. In our case, this degeneracy is $2 \times (k)^g = 2 \times \frac{np}{2}$, since we have two Chern–Simons terms. This degeneracy is exactly what is expected for a doubled Chern–Simons model [21],

for which the physical Hilbert space is the direct product of the two Hilbert spaces of the component models.

We will now demonstrate that the phase, where topological excitations Q_0 condense while M_0 are dilute, describes an effective gauge theory of a superconducting state. The partition function is

$$Z_{\mathrm{LE}} = \sum_{\{Q_0\}} \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \int \mathcal{D}B_\mu^q \exp(-S),$$

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}t \sum_{\mathbf{x}} -\frac{\mathrm{i}k}{2\pi} A_\mu K_{\mu\nu} B_\nu^q + \frac{\mathrm{i}k}{2\pi} A_0(2\pi Q_0). \tag{13}$$

To this end, note first that a unit external charge represented by an additional term $\mathrm{i}2\pi a_0(t, \mathbf{x})\delta_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x_0}}$ is completely screened by the charge condensate, since it can be absorbed into a redefinition of the topological excitations Q_0. In order to characterize the superconducting phase, we introduce the typical order parameter namely, the 't Hooft loop of length T in the time direction:

$$L_H \equiv \exp\left(\mathrm{i}\phi\frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \int \mathrm{d}t \sum_x \phi_\mu B_\mu\right), \tag{14}$$

where $\phi_0(t, \mathbf{x}) = (\theta(t + T/2) - \theta(t - T/2))\delta_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x_1}} - (\theta(t + T/2) - \theta(t - T/2))\delta_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x_2}}$ and $\phi_i(-T/2, \mathbf{x}), \phi_i(T/2, \mathbf{x})$ are unit links joining $\mathbf{x}_1$ to $\mathbf{x}_2$ and $\mathbf{x}_2$ to $\mathbf{x}_1$ at fixed time and vanishing everywhere else. Its vacuum expectation value $\langle L_H \rangle$ yields the amplitude for creating a separated vortex–antivortex pair of flux ϕ, which propagates for a time T and is then annihilated in the vacuum.

Since we replaced $B_\mu \to B_\mu^q$, we may rewrite the 't Hooft loop as

$$L_H \equiv \exp\left(\mathrm{i}\phi\frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \int \mathrm{d}t \sum_x \left(\phi_\mu B_\mu^q - \frac{p}{n} A_\mu \phi_\mu\right)\right). \tag{15}$$

To compute $\langle L_H \rangle$, one should integrate first over the gauge field B_μ^q to get

$$\langle L_H \rangle \propto \sum_{\{Q_0\}} \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \delta(\hat{K}_{\mu\nu} A_\nu - \phi\phi_\mu) \exp \mathrm{i}\phi\frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \left(\int \mathrm{d}t \sum_{\mathbf{x}} A_0(2\pi Q_0) - \frac{p}{n} A_\mu \phi_\mu\right). \tag{16}$$

The sum over Q_0 enforces the condition that $\frac{k}{2\pi} A_0$ be an integer. As a consequence, $\hat{K}_{i0} A_0 = \frac{2\pi}{k} n_i = \phi\phi_i$ with n_i an integer. We thus have

$$\phi = \frac{2\pi}{k} q, \qquad q \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{17}$$

Thus, $\langle L_H \rangle$ vanishes for all fluxes different from an integer multiple of the fundamental fluxon, which is just the Meissner effect. In the low-energy effective gauge theory, vortex–antivortex pairs are confined by an infinite force which also becomes logarithmic upon including higher order Maxwell terms.

From (11), one has (depending on if p is an even integer or n is an even integer) either that n is the charge unit with $p/2$ units of charge, or, vice versa, that is, p is the charge unit with $n/2$ units of charge. By rewriting (17) as $\phi = \frac{2}{pn} 2\pi n$, one finds the standard flux quantization $\phi = \frac{2\pi}{Ne} n$, where e is the charge unit and N is the number of units of charge.

Summarizing, frustrated planar JJAs in the quantum Hall phases provide an explicit example of both topological order and a new superconducting behaviour [13] analogous to Laughlin's quantum Hall fluids.

References

[1] Wen X-G 2002 *Phys. Lett.* A **300** 175 (*Preprint* cond-mat/0110397)
[2] For a review, see Wen X-G 1995 *Adv. Phys.* **44** 405 (*Preprint* cond-mat/9506066)
[3] Laughlin R B 1983 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50** 1395
[4] For a review, see Prange R E and Girvin S M 1990 *The Quantum Hall Effect* (New York: Springer)
[5] For a review, see Wilczek F 1990 *Fractional Statistics and Anyon Superconductivity* (Singapore: World Scientific)
[6] Diamantini M C and Sodano P 1992 *Phys. Rev.* B **45** 5737
[7] Stern A 1994 *Phys. Rev.* B **50** 10092
[8] Diamantini M C, Sodano P and Trugenberger C A 1996 *Nucl. Phys.* B **474** 641
[9] Ioffe L B, Feigel'man M V, Ioselevich A S, Ivanov D, Troyer M and Blatter G 2002 *Nature* **415** 503
[10] For a review, see Fazio R and van der Zant H 2001 *Phys. Rep.* **355** 235 (*Preprint* cond-mat/0011152)
[11] Wen X-G and Zee A 1991 *Phys. Rev.* B **44** 274
[12] For a review, see Jackiw R 1985 Topics in planar physics *Physics, Geometry and Topology* ed H C Lee (New York: Plenum)
[13] Diamantini M C, Sodano P and Trugenberger C A 2005 *Preprint* hep-th/0511192
[14] For a review, see Laughlin R B 1990 The incompressible quantum fluid *The Quantum Hall Effect* ed R E Prange and S M Girvin (New York: Springer)
[15] Odintsov A A and Nazarov Y V 1995 *Phys. Rev.* B **51** 1133
[16] Choi M Y 1994 *Phys. Rev.* B **50** 10088
[17] Luescher P 1989 *Nucl. Phys.* B **326** 557
     Eliezer D and Semenoff G W 1992 *Ann. Phys., NY* **217** 66
[18] Goldhaber A S, Mackenzie R and Wilczek F 1989 *Mod. Phys. Lett.* A **4** 21
[19] Read N 1990 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65** 1502
[20] Eliezer D and Semenoff G W 1992 *Phy. Lett.* B **286** 118
[21] Freedman M, Nayak C, Shtengel K, Walker K and Wang Z 2004 *Ann. Phys.* **310** 428 (*Preprint* cond-mat/0307511)
     Levin M A and Wen X-G 2004 *Preprint* cond-mat/0404617